

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,710	C	7/27/2001	Juliana Arifin	70001967-1	8241
22879	7590	03/01/2004		EXAMINER	
		RD COMPANY	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH		
		4 E. HARMONY RO			D + DED > 11 D + DED
INTELLEC	TUAL PRO	OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COL	LINS, CO	80527-2400		1756	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the same of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/916,710	ARIFIN ET AL.				
, tarreer y riodern	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first of the control of the c	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	•					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-26 and 28-34</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection and because the newly presented arguments are directed towards the unentered amendment.

MARK F. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700